

BUSINESS DIRECTORY.

100 Broadstreet, *Card will be inserted in this column of the 3d and 4th pages.*
A. SCHAFF, Wm. Tracy Grover, Producers
Provisions, Southwark corner Second and Jefferson streets, Louisville, Ky., goods delivered to any part of the country.
A. LEE, Madison, Wheeling and other Ales, at Lee's, Weber's, No. 23 Fourth street.
ALL KINDS of Smokers' Goods at Warner's, a southwest corner Third and Jefferson.
BOURLIER & WHEELER—Louisville Ornamental Metal Works, No. 56 Main street, between Sixth and Seventh.
BOUCHE, CHAS. J.—Eagle Coffee, Spice and Mustard, corner Sixth and Grayson street.
COMMISSIONERS ON DEEDS, for the States, and Notary Public, Office of the Jefferson street.
CROMMELIN, WILLIAM—Dealers in Paper Goods, corner Second and Main, between Sixth and Eighth.
COOT & GOODMAN—Importers and dealers in Wagons, Horses, Carts, Sleds, Sledges, Wagons, Spectacles, Optics, Clocks, &c., 12 Third street, between Jefferson and Green.
DEMOCRAT—Book and Job Printing House, 120 Main street.
GAY'S CHINA PALACE—Corner Fourth and Grayson, under Masonic Temple.
HAYS, WILL S.—Dealer in Sheet Music and Hobbies, 110 Main street.
HOLLINGWORTH & CO.—Johnson's Business, 100 Main street, in Temperance Hall building, north side Market street, bet. Fourth and Fifth.
HAYS, ROBERT W.—Attorney at Law, Center and Carter in Ivory, Market street, between Sixth and Seventh, Louisville, Ky., Pool and Billiard Tables, Spectacles, Books, &c., 12 Third street, between Jefferson and Green.
J. M. WRIGHT, ATTORNEY AT LAW, No. 100 Main street, in Hamilton Building, corner Sixth and Main.
JAMES, THOMAS C.—Successor to Fults, and other Cos., Office, No. 85 Main street, between Market and Jefferson.
KING, JOHN C.—Wholesale and retail dealer in Cloth, Linens and Caskets, Woods and Undertakers' Hardware, Louisville, Ky., corner of Main and Jefferson, Sixth and Seventh.
KENNEDY & IRWIN—Gasoline, No. 925 Main street, between Market and Jefferson.
MILLER, CHAS. & SON—Real Estate Agents, Office, Sixth street, near Main, east side, Ham.
MORTON, JOHN P. & CO.—Publishers, Books, Stationery, Books and Job Printers, 100 Main street.
"OLD RELIABLE"—U. S. Mail Line Steamer, Cincinnati and the East; shortest, cheapest and best route.
PARKENFOLK, OLIVER BACKER & CO.—Com-
pany, 100 Main street, Louisville, Ky., corner of Main and Market, No. Four street.
PEARSON & MEDDIS—Real Estate Agents, 100 Main street, Cincinnati and the East; shortest, cheapest and best route.
QUEENSWAYNE, J.—DOLINGER & CO., No. 96 Main street, bet. Third and Fourth street.
RICHMOND'S SALOON—Corner of Fifth and Market, 100 Main street, Hamilton, Proprietor.
RIPY, BURRELL & CO.—Wholesale dealers in Cotton, Wool, Linen, Hosiery, Blouses, &c., No. 7 Fifth street.
ROGERS, A. G. & SON—House Furnishing Establishment, 100 Main street, bet. Market and Fifth.
SMITH & McDOWELL—Druggists and Apothecaries, Northwest corner Fourth and Green streets.
STANCLIFF & ANDREW ARTHA—Arch-
itects, 90 Main street, Louisville, corner Sixth and Main.
SPICES—Ground and Ground, Electric Yeast, Powder, C. J. BOUCHER, cor. Sixth and Grayson.
WALCOTT & WARNER—Furnishing Goods, 100 Main street, Louisville, Ky., corner of Main and Fourth, near Main.
WILSON, PETER & CO.—Wholesale Druggists and Proprietors of the Louisville Chemical Works, corner of Main and Market.
WARNERS SMOKERS' EMPORIUM—Best brands Cigars and Tobacco.

We have, then, another element that looks to the Democratic party. This is the part of the floating vote that likes change. This is a very large class, and especially among the young, as the other is confined to the middle aged. These are covetous of change by nature. Without reasoning as accurately as the other, they, too, are desirous of novelty for its own sake, and as a general rule the Radical party runs counter to all their prejudices. This class looks at negro suffrage, which cannot be concealed in the body of the Chicago resolutions, and revolts at the idea of acting as a counter to a big, bounding black baby. This class is great on torchlight processions, bands, flags, and constitute the hurrah boys of the masses. They are active, zealous workers, and with a peculiar influence in their own class and age, more effective than logic or money. All the floating vote, from the most intelligent and cultivated to the gamins and newsboys, with their quick wit and ready, rough humor, are included in this class, and it is a unit for Democracy. It works zealously and effectively in clubs, organizations, and by all means, and its hostility has an element of personality and resentment independent of party difference. All this class goes for Seymour and Blair, and it will carry a heavier vote than is generally and loosely estimated.

Included in the floating vote are the conservatives among the soldiers and sailors. They are particularly inclusive with the first class, but their peculiar service has necessarily thrown them into a compact body. They have peculiar interests and sympathies, and hence they act together. We need not dwell upon this. Their convention has spoken, and it is a true expression of every man, that, perhaps two-thirds at least, have hitherto voted Radical. They will now vote Democratic. Besides, they are the most influential class we have. We give to the volunteer who has fought for his country a superiority, and are glad to second his wishes. This again carries a large floating vote to Democracy.

An element not to be overlooked when we view the powerful instrument it yields through unions, societies, &c., is the working-man's interest. This is very intelligent and very thoughtful body of men. They are alive to the fact that, in the balance between labor and capital, Radicalism has always favored the latter. The bonds and securities are an example. But Radicals have never shown such zealous regard for the sacred rights of labor. The conventions of working-men have, therefore, yielded a cordial support and endorsement to the Democratic nominations. When we look at the immense mechanical interests, the number of laborers, the value of this occasion is appreciated.

There are some elements of that floating vote which always carries an election, and which will carry Seymour into the Presidency. We have another strong political element. This is a struggle between the *ins* and the *outs*. As there are more *outs* than there are *ins*, of course the *outs* are a majority. For example, the man in office is Radical; and knows Radical success will continue in the position. The three or four *outs* who want his office will not be Radicals, for that would not take the office from brother Radical. They go conservative necessarily for their own bread and meat. These are admitted influences sure to go Democratic, and as they have always carried the elections, they are sure to do it in November.

Revolution—The Attacks of the Enemy. The Radicals, of course, are very much dissatisfied with the nominations of Seymour and Blair. In Congressional speeches, and all over the country, they turn their batteries upon them. We have made the strongest ticket that could have been made, and hence find it assailed with a vigor and a spleen which are already exhausting themselves. We have but to intelligently imagine the nomination of any one of the other prominent candidates to see how much more ineffective are the attacks upon our nominees than they would be. The friends of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour! Patriots both, while honorably emulous of the proud position of the prospective redeemer of their country, to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Pendleton and Hendricks. Pendleton and Hendricks will soon address the people together! Some "little unpleasantness" was evoked at New York between the friends of these two distinguished gentlemen. Those of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Revolution—The Attacks of the Enemy. The Radicals, of course, are very much dissatisfied with the nominations of Seymour and Blair. In Congressional speeches, and all over the country, they turn their batteries upon them. We have made the strongest ticket that could have been made, and hence find it assailed with a vigor and a spleen which are already exhausting themselves. We have but to intelligently imagine the nomination of any one of the other prominent candidates to see how much more ineffective are the attacks upon our nominees than they would be. The friends of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Pendleton and Hendricks. Pendleton and Hendricks will soon address the people together! Some "little unpleasantness" was evoked at New York between the friends of these two distinguished gentlemen. Those of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Revolution—The Attacks of the Enemy. The Radicals, of course, are very much dissatisfied with the nominations of Seymour and Blair. In Congressional speeches, and all over the country, they turn their batteries upon them. We have made the strongest ticket that could have been made, and hence find it assailed with a vigor and a spleen which are already exhausting themselves. We have but to intelligently imagine the nomination of any one of the other prominent candidates to see how much more ineffective are the attacks upon our nominees than they would be. The friends of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Pendleton and Hendricks. Pendleton and Hendricks will soon address the people together! Some "little unpleasantness" was evoked at New York between the friends of these two distinguished gentlemen. Those of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Pendleton and Hendricks. Pendleton and Hendricks will soon address the people together! Some "little unpleasantness" was evoked at New York between the friends of these two distinguished gentlemen. Those of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Pendleton and Hendricks. Pendleton and Hendricks will soon address the people together! Some "little unpleasantness" was evoked at New York between the friends of these two distinguished gentlemen. Those of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Pendleton and Hendricks. Pendleton and Hendricks will soon address the people together! Some "little unpleasantness" was evoked at New York between the friends of these two distinguished gentlemen. Those of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Pendleton and Hendricks. Pendleton and Hendricks will soon address the people together! Some "little unpleasantness" was evoked at New York between the friends of these two distinguished gentlemen. Those of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Pendleton and Hendricks. Pendleton and Hendricks will soon address the people together! Some "little unpleasantness" was evoked at New York between the friends of these two distinguished gentlemen. Those of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Seymour and Grant. These are the names of the candidates of the respective parties. Would you compare them? Compare Hyperion to a Satyr! As far as men are concerned, have our apprehensions of American intelligence sunk so low that we are in doubt which of the two will be chosen? Men are, in politics, put forward as representatives of ideas; ideas of their own impressed upon parties or consonant with parties. Seymour, as a man of brains, has defended, maintained, illustrated and distinguished the tenets of the Democratic party. Grant has reflected upon nothing; has borrowed brains from nothing. He is the representative of no idea on the face of the earth. He has given no evidence of power to begot or entertain an idea. He is as a mere wooden man, set up by those who have despotic in their hearts, to deceive a free people. To originate anything, to guide and direct a people to happiness and prosperity, who would think of turning to Grant? As an intelligent counselor and guide, who would hesitate to consult Seymour? And thus the candidates stand. The policies they represent we will have occasion to illustrate.

Pendleton and Hendricks. Pendleton and Hendricks will soon address the people together! Some "little unpleasantness" was evoked at New York between the friends of these two distinguished gentlemen. Those of each or imagined "what might have been" had the adherents of the one and the other acted differently. But the friends of both now agree that the old spirit of the Democracy inspired the wits' choice. *Success* is "the one thing needed"; and to that success, both these gentlemen, as well as their respective friends, are as willing to consecrate themselves as was Horatio Seymour!

Grant. Grant is swinging around the circle which Johnson paraded, and not a soul welcomes him. Poor Belisarius has no office to give, and can gain no cheers.

Sey

